Art and politics in Israel

Fault lines

TEL AVIV
An exhibition on the art of borders has reached a fitting audience

In the beginning it seems to be an ordinary nature documentary. Two gazelles, a male and a female, seek each other out in the mating season. But as the background comes into focus, it becomes clear that this a political scene, too. The viewer sees the shiny white apartment blocks of a Jewish suburb of Jerusalem, built across the “green line” in the occupied West Bank. The two gazelles are close, yet they are kept apart by the security barrier, which on one side is referred to as “the separation fence”, and the Palestinians on the other call “the wall”.

A muted group of young people watch the film in the art gallery of Tel Aviv University. “Hey, we had a case like that,” one of them says, breaking the silence. “We opened the gate so they could get it on together.” The others chuckle; there is an air of transgression. Uniforms diaclose that these are not routine visitors. In Tel Aviv on a cultural excursion, they are soldiers of Israel’s Border Police, under instruction from their officers to be quiet in the gallery. This is the last place they expected to see the barrier they know so well.

To the surprise of its curators, “Defence Lines: Maginot, Bar-Lev and Beyond”, an exhibition that includes the film, has turned out to be very popular with security personnel, both serving and retired. “We didn’t originally think we’d get so many,” says Tamar Mayer, the gallery’s chief curator. But her team did aim to draw a crowd beyond “the usual suspects”. In the event, entire military units have come on organised tours, as have peace activists. One officer says he has been twice, in uniform and then off-duty. “Coming as a civilian, you’re a different person from the officer whose job it is to guard these lines,” he says. “I saw things differently the second time and began to grasp that every wall I’ve ever guarded will one day become obsolete.”
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Israeli galleries are stuffed with subversive and radical art, but it is rarely seen by such a wide audience. “Defence Lines” has raised thorny old questions about the relationship between art and politics, but its reception has posed them in a novel way: an instant feedback loop has developed between the visions of walls and borders on display, and the people responsible for guarding them in real life.

The first exhibit in the show—a tall border fence in a rugged desert—seemed familiar to the visitors, too. Only upon closer inspection did many realise that they were not looking at the Negev, but at a prototype for Donald Trump’s proposed wall on the Mexican border, in pictures by Assafay von, an Israeli photographer. The disorientation is intentional—a bid to disconcert an audience in a place preoccupied with defining its own frontiers. Next comes “The Line”, a series of photographs by Alexandre Guirkinger (first shown in his native France), which focus on the mouldering fortifications of the Maginot Line. The immense construction, built in the 1930s, failed to protect France from the Wehrmacht, which bypassed the defences by advancing through Belgium and the Netherlands. But it is still standing, abandoned.

The traumatic folly of the Bar-Lev line was Israel’s version of the Maginot. It, too, was built at great cost and named after a general. It, too, failed to stop an onslaught (by the Egyptians at the start of the Yom Kippur war in 1973). The pictures of it by Micha Bar-Am, an Israeli photographer,
which appear in “Defence Lines”, begin with the barrier’s construction in the late 1960s; move on to the placid routine of soldiers on the banks of the Suez canal; and culminate in the carnage of war. The images have a special poignancy for Israelis. Unlike the Maginot, which sits on French territory, the Bar-Lev line was built to defend the Sinai Peninsula, from which Israel ultimately withdrew, returning it to Egypt in the Camp David peace accord.

“it brings home the fact that while we feel invincible, building walls and fences and standing guard over them, there’s always an aspect of weakness and vulnerability to them,” observes Commander Ronen Bar-Shalom of the Border Police, as he peruses the exhibition with his troops. “It’s a reminder that every wall can be breached.” A retired combat pilot at the gallery recalls how he was taken on a tour of the Bar-Lev line’s construction and assured of its impregnability, only to be ordered—after the Egyptians had overrun it—to bomb the fortified positions Israel had established at such expense.

Walls have ears

The most sensitive defence lines in the show, and in the country—the ones that demarcate the current Israeli-Palestinian conflict—are represented by “Gazelles, Separation Fence Herd, Jerusalem”, a film by Amir Balaban, an Israeli nature conservationist and documentary-maker (see previous page), and by “25FT”, a collage of video and stills. Netta Laufer, an Israeli artist, put “25FT” together from military surveillance footage taken in the West Bank. Ms Laufer tries to recreate the experience of an Israeli soldier operating one of the cameras. As with Mr Balaban’s film, the images, in black-and-white night-vision, are not of humans, but the outlines of small animals moving across the contested landscape.

A critic for Haaretz, Israel’s liberal daily, questioned whether all these snapshots really counted as art (another hoary talking-point). Others have complained that the treatment of the controversial barrier is too mild. The occupation of the West Bank has lasted 52 years and counting; this is not the exhibition to dispel the widespread Israeli complacency over its effects.

But the intention was less grand and more subtle than that. “At first I thought the exhibition would be more political,” says Sefy Hendler, head of the university’s art department and the gallery’s director. But “we decided to try and escape the good guys-bad guys dichotomy”: in other words, to depict barriers, not erect them. Art “shouldn’t belong to the liberal crowd who come to gallery openings in Tel Aviv with a glass of wine,” Mr Hendler insists. “I’d much prefer to have military officers come here and perhaps leave with a more nuanced perspective.”

Mind-altering substances

Cactus spirit


MESCALINE is the drug that launched the modern fascination with hallucinogens. It is also the hallucinogen for which there is the earliest evidence of human use. At Chavin de Huantar, a temple complex in the Peruvian Andes thought to date to as early as 1200bc, stone carvings show grimacing figures—part human, part jaguar—clutching the oblong San Pedro cactus, one of a few plants known to contain the chemical. Another natural source of mescaline, the squat peyote cactus, has been used in rituals in northern Mexico since pre-Colombian times. Anthropologists studying Amerindian culture, along with botanists and chemists, turned white people on to the stuff, eventually kicking off the psychedelic revolution that is still unfolding at spiritual retreats in California and dance clubs in Ibiza.

Mike Jay’s history of mescaline use is a bit of a mind-altering experience itself, both rollicking and intellectually rigorous. Readers may know the drug as the inspiration for Aldous Huxley’s “Doors of Perception” in the 1950s. Mr Jay grounds his story a century earlier in the white encounter with (and near extermination of) Native American culture.

In the 1890s James Mooney, an anthropologist at the Smithsonian Institution, befriended a Comanche chief named Quanah Parker who embraced the religious use of peyote, which had spread from Mexico in the cultural maelstrom accompanying the genocide of Native Americans. Quanah and Mooney saw peyote rituals as a peaceful alternative to the Ghost Dance, an apocalyptic cult that had inspired a series of doomed uprisings. They incorporated the Native American church, which blended Indian and Christian elements. Its right to use peyote was enshrined in law in 1994. Meanwhile the pharmaceutical industry, on the hunt for profitable plant-derived compounds like cocaine, was eager to experiment with the cactus. A Detroit-based drug company marketed a powdered form as an Indian panacea. In Berlin a celebrity pharmacologist named Louis Lewin failed to isolate the psychoactive ingredient because he was unwilling to test it on himself. A less squeamish chemist, Arthur Heffter, worked it out after swallowing an alkaloid derived from the cactus and finding himself immersed in classic mescaline hallucinations: carpet patterns, ribbed vaults, intricate architectural phantasms.

Mr Jay takes seriously mescaline’s ability to produce such visual and emotional revelations. But he also wants to demystify the heroic accounts of some of its evangelists, who have imagined it as a delivery system for their own aesthetic or spiritual obsessions. Genteel Edwardian experimenters like Havelock Ellis and W.B. Yeats saw it as a pathway to the symbolist worlds of that period’s art. Jazz-age eccentricities like Aleister Crowley took it as a direct line to the occult. Antonin Artaud worked mescaline’s effects into surrealism, Jean-Paul Sartre into existentialism. Huxley, who had studied with a Hindu swami, thought it promised mystical experiences for all. In a darker vein, Hunter Thompson turned a mescaline trip into the hạtnic climax of “Fear and Loathing in Las Vegas”. For Mr Jay, the trip marks a moment when the drug culture was “leaving the utopian dreams of the Sixties in its dust”.

Doctors’ hopes for mescaline have founndered too—a fact worth remembering as hallucinogens draw renewed medical interest. Some 20th-century psychiatrists thought mescaline might unlock the mechanism of schizophrenia. It didn’t. Its effects are too unpredictable for clinical applications: it can produce elation or paranoia, elaborate visions or none. The let-down spurred a search for related compounds such as LSD and ecstasy, which have more reliable effects at lower doses. For Mr Jay, the most rewarding way to take the drug remains the Native American “half moon” peyote ceremony, guided by an experienced shaman and surrounded by fellow travelers on their own spiritual roads. When consuming mescaline, as with many things in life, it is a mistake to focus too much on the commodity, and too little on the company.
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